IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 26TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 7TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 3513 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

A.B.ALIKUNJU, AGED 47 YEARS,
S/O.A.K.BAVA, ALAKADU HOUSE,
NELLIKUZHY P.O., KOTHAMANGALAM,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SACHITHANANDA PAI

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT

THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. P.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 3513 OF 2007 R
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 26th February, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner belongs to the category of Food Inspector. He is now working in Ponnani Circle of Malappuram District. He has applied for transfer as per Ext. P4 pro forma showing the various places which he would like to choose for a posting. One of the places thus shown is Mobile Vigilance Squad, Kakkanad. Counsel for the petitioner submits that unless orders are passed by this Court, the petitioner's case may not be considered properly by the concerned respondent.

2. I have heard Govt. Pleader also.

3. In the circumstances, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition by issuing appropriate directions to the respondent. The writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider Ext. P4 along with other applications submitted by similarly situated Food Inspectors for general transfer, in 2007. Appropriate decision shall be taken at the time of the general transfers. I am not reproducing here the reasons given by the petitioner in Ext. P4, for, I have no reason to

assume that the concerned authority will not look into Ext. P4 and appropriate decision will not be taken.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/